



Qualitative evidence has been obtained in the course of the isolation and purification of 2-undecenal of the presence of the decomposition mixture of analogs and homologs of this aldehyde. Aldehydes of the type represented by 2-undecenal readily undergo oxidation, reduction, and isomerization thus making it possible to account for many of the end products which have been qualitatively and quantitatively identified in various types of oxidizing fat systems.

## REFERENCES

1. E. H. Farmer and D. A. Sutton, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1943, 119-122.
2. J. L. Bolland and H. P. Koch, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1945, 445-447.
3. C. E. Swift, F. G. Dollear and R. T. O'Connor, *Oil & Soap* 23, 355-359 (1946).
4. J. D. Roberts and C. Green, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 68, 214-216 (1946).
5. E. E. Blaise and G. Guerin, *Bull. Soc. Chem.* 3, 29, 1202-1208 (1903).
6. D. Atherton and T. P. Hilditch, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1944, 105-108.
7. F. D. Gunstone and T. P. Hilditch, *ibid.*, 1946, 1022-1025.

## The Hydrolysis of Soap Solutions. II. The Solubilities of Higher Fatty Acids

LUCILLE M. JOHN\* and JAMES W. McBAIN, Stanford University, California

IN studying the hydrolysis of soap systems, some investigators state that the fatty acid formed is in excess of its saturation value in certain concentrations; others state that it is always less. The first step is to determine what the saturation values actually are.

In general, there have been few available data for the solubilities of the higher fatty acids though some isolated values are given in the literature. In 1942 Ralston and Hoerr (1) determined the solubilities of the normal saturated fatty acids from  $C_6$ - $C_{18}$  in water and in a number of organic solvents at the temperatures 0, 20, 30, 45, and 60°C. Saturated solutions of the acids made with conductivity water were titrated with barium hydroxide, using phenolphthalein as indicator. The authors apparently made no correction for carbon dioxide, neglect of which would lead to high results.

### Experimental

The solubilities of capric, lauric, myristic, palmitic, and stearic acids in water were determined at 25° and 50°C., using a conductivity method. Saturated solutions of the pure Kahlbaum acids (except Eastman's capric and some Lepovsky's especially prepared myristic) were prepared by adding crystals of the acids to conductivity water in 100 ml. or 250 ml. Jena glass bottles. They were heated on a water bath to about 60-70°C. for half an hour or longer, with intermittent shaking, then allowed to stand, usually 24 hours or longer. Crystals of capric and lauric acids separated on cooling, leaving the solutions perfectly clear. Excess myristic, palmitic, and stearic acids in finely divided form often remained suspended in the solutions, making them appear cloudy.

The conductivity of these solutions was determined by use of a Grinnell Jones-Dyke type of bridge supplied by Leeds and Northrup, with an oil thermostat at 25°C.  $\pm 0.01^\circ$  and 50°C.  $\pm 0.05^\circ$ . Bottles of solution were allowed to come to the desired temperature in the thermostat, then the conductivity cell was filled. The reading was taken after about 15 minutes. The resistance did not remain constant but changed almost continuously even over a period of several hours. The values usually, but not always, increased with time,

probably due to sorption of the dissolved acid. The cell was rinsed with acetone to remove any sorbed acid before refilling it for another trial.

The resistance given for the acids in Table I is the average of from 5 to 10 measurements, rounded off to two or three significant figures. Deviation from the mean ranged from 0.7% in the case of capric and lauric acids, to about 7% in the case of stearic and palmitic acids at 25°C. where the solubility is very low.

The equivalent conductivities for the acids at 25° and 50° were obtained from the values of Bunbury and Martin(2) and of Gonick (3). Some of the mobilities were known at 25° and at 90°C., and the values at 50° were calculated by linear interpolation. The equivalent conductivities used at 25 and at 50°C. were the following:

	25°	50°
Hydrogen ion.....	349.8	478
Capric acid.....	374.7	519.2
Lauric acid.....	373.3	517.4
Myristic acid.....	372.3	516
Palmitic acid.....	371.4	514.7
Stearic acid.....	370.7	513.6

The method of calculation was that used by McBain and Taylor (4). The following calculation for the solubility of palmitic acid at 50°C. illustrates the method used for all. The cell constant was 0.02895. The specific conductivity of water at 50° was  $1.47 \times 10^{-6}$  (at 25°, it was  $0.72 \times 10^{-6}$ ), and that of the saturated palmitic acid solution at 50°C. was

$$\frac{0.02895}{13,200} =$$

$2.19 \times 10^{-6}$  mhos. The conductivity of conductivity water is assumed to be due to the  $H^+$  and  $HCO_3^-$  from dissolved carbon dioxide. Therefore the dissolved palmitic acid slightly increased the  $H^+$  concentration and decreased the  $HCO_3^-$  concentration. The difference between the increased hydrogen ion concentration,  $C_{H^+}$ , and the decreased  $HCO_3^-$  concentration,  $C_{HCO_3^-}$  would be equal to the concentration of the free palmitate ion,  $C_{P^-}$ . In the above measurements the con-

ductivity of palmitic acid is  $\frac{2.19}{1.47}$  times that of water.

If  $V_1$  is the volume in liters containing one mole of acid completely dissociated, and  $\Lambda$  is the equivalent

\* Present address: Duchesne College, Omaha, Nebr.